# Planning Committee 25 August 2022



Subject: Quarterly Planning Performance Update

Report.

Report Authors: Simon Thelwell, Head of Strategic

**Development** 

Maria Bailey, Head of Development

Management

#### 1 BACKGROUND

- 1.1 This quarterly report produces a summary of performance on planning applications/appeals and planning enforcement for the previous quarter, April to June 2022.
- 1.2 Details of any planning appeal decisions in the quarters where committee resolved to refuse planning permission contrary to officer recommendation are also given.
- 1.3 The Government has set performance targets for Local Planning Authorities, both in terms of speed of decision and quality of decision. Failure to meet the targets set could result in the Council being designated with applicants for planning permission being able to choose not to use the Council for determining the application

#### 2 RECOMMENDATION

That the report be noted.

#### 3 QUALITY OF PLANNING DECISIONS

3.1 In accordance with the published government standards, quality performance with regard to Major (10 or more residential units proposed or 1000+ sq m new floorspace or site area greater than 0.5 hectares), County Matter

(proposals involving minerals extraction or waste development) and Non-Major applications are assessed separately. If more than 10% of the total decisions in each category over the stated period were allowed on appeal, the threshold for designation would be exceeded. Due to the fact that 10% of the number of non-major decisions made exceeds the total number of appeals, there is no chance of designation so the performance against the non-major target will not be published in this report, although it will still be monitored by officers.

- 3.2 In December 2020, the then MHCLG announced that there would be two periods of assessment for the purposes of designation:
  - decisions between 1 April 2018 and 31 March 2020, with subsequent appeal decisions to December 2020 (as previously reported, the Council is not at risk of designation for this period).
  - decisions between 1 April 2019 and 31 March 2021, with subsequent appeal decisions to December 2021 (as previously reported, the Council is not at risk of designation for this period).
- 3.3 Although, no announcements regarding further periods for assessment have been made, it is considered that monitoring of the next rolling two year assessment periods should take place this would be decisions between 1 April 2020 and 31 March 2022 with subsequent appeal decisions to December 2022 and decisions between 1 April 2021 and 31 March 2023 with subsequent appeal decisions to December 2023.
- 3.4 The current figures for April 2020 to March 2022 are:

Total number of planning decisions over period: 68

Number of appeals allowed: 1 % of appeals allowed: 1.5% Appeals still to be determined: 2

Refusals which could still be appealed: 1

County Matter Applications:

Total number of planning decisions over period: 1

Number of appeals allowed: 0 % of appeals allowed: 0%

Appeals still to be determined: 0

Refusals which could still be appealed: 0

- 3.5 Based on the above, there is no risk of designation for this period.
- 3.6 The current figures for April 2021 to March 2023 are:

Total number of planning decisions over period: 47

Number of appeals allowed: 0 % of appeals allowed: 0% Appeals still to be determined: 2

Refusals which could still be appealed: 3

#### County Matter Applications:

Total number of planning decisions over period: 2

Number of appeals allowed: 0 % of appeals allowed: 0% Appeals still to be determined: 0

Refusals which could still be appealed: 0

- 3.7 Due to the low number of decisions that we take that are majors or county matters, any adverse appeal decision can have a significant effect on the figure. Based on the above, it is considered that at this time there is a risk of designation. The figure will continue to be carefully monitored.
- 3.8 As part of the quarterly monitoring, it is considered useful to provide details of the performance of appeals generally and summarise any appeal decisions received where either the Strategic Planning Committee/Planning Committee resolved to refuse planning permission contrary to officer recommendation. This is provided in the tables below.

### **Appeal Decisions Apr-Jun 2022**

Total Number of Appeal Decisions - 25
Appeals Allowed - 6
Appeals Dismissed - 19
% Appeals Allowed - 24%

Officer Comment – As this is the first quarter, the average for the year is 24% appeals allowed which is above what has been the case in previous years and when benchmarked against the national and London average. In terms of benchmarking, the national average for the same quarter was 31%, with the London average being 32%. Appeal decisions are carefully monitored for any particular trends with appropriate advice to officers as necessary.

#### **Adverse Costs Decisions - None**

**Appeal Decisions where Committee Decision Contrary to Officer Recommendation** 

Total Number of Appeal Decisions - 1
Appeals Allowed - 1
Appeals Dismissed - 0
% Appeals Allowed - 100%

Appeal Decisions Apr-Jun 2022							
Decision by Committee Contrary to Officer Recommendation							
Date of	Application	Summary	Appeal	Summary of			
Committee	Details	Reason for	Decision	Inspectors			
		Refusal		Findings			
1 Jul 21 –	P0450.21	Cumulative	Allowed	The hours applied			
Planning		impact of		for are during the			
Committee	145A Chase	extending hours		day and would be			
	Cross Road,	resulting in		unlikely to cause			
	Romford	greater intensity		significant			
		of use resulting		disturbance.			
	Variation of	in noise,		However, it would			
	condition no. 8 of	disturbance and		be reasonable to			
	planning	light pollution,		grant temporary			
	permission	harmful to		permission for an			
	P0729.99 dated	neighbouring		18 month period to			
	07/08/1999	residential		monitor any impact.			
	(meeting room) to	amenity.					
	extend hours of						
	use to Monday to						
	Friday 12:00-						
	19:30, Saturday,						
	Sunday and Bank						
	Holidays12:00-						
	17:30						

#### 4 SPEED OF PLANNING DECISIONS

4.1 In accordance with the published government standards, speed of decision applies to all major and non-major development applications, with the threshold for designation set as follows:

Speed of Major Development (and County Matters) – 60% of decisions within timescale (13 or 16 weeks or such longer time agreed with the applicant)

Speed of Non-Major Development - 70% of decisions within timescale (8 weeks or such longer time agreed with the applicant)

- 4.2 In December 2020 MHCLG announced that there would be two periods assessed for the purposes of designation:
  - Decisions made between October 2018 and September 2020 (as previously reported, the Council is not at risk of designation for this period)
  - Decisions made between October 2019 and September 2021 (as previously reported, the Council is not at risk of designation for this period)

- 4.3 Although, no announcements regarding further periods for assessment have been made, it is considered that monitoring of the next rolling two year assessment period should take place this would be decisions between 1 October 2020 and 30 September 2022.
- 4.4 Performance to date on these is as follows:

October 2020 to June 2022 (to date)

Major Development (60 out of 62) – 97% in time

County Matter (2 out of 2) – 100% in time

Non-Major Decisions – (3686 out of 3873) 95% in time

- 4.5 The Council is currently not at risk of designation due to speed of decisions. The figure for future periods will continue to be monitored.
- 4.6 It is considered useful to provide some comparison on speed of decision on Major and Non-Major decisions with other London Boroughs. Obtaining directly comparable benchmarking data for the above period is not possible. However, comparison data on speed of decision for the year ending December 2021 is available and set out below. Performance in Havering is generally good compared to other boroughs for both measures.

Borough	Major In Time	Rank - Majors	Minor and Others In Time	Rank - Minors and Others
Barking and Dagenham	100%	1	100%	1
Barnet	78%	29	83%	21
Bexley	92%	20	68%	32
Brent	100%	1	82%	23
Bromley	79%	28	63%	33
Camden	94%	18	71%	31
City of London	96%	15	86%	20
Croydon	69%	31	72%	30
Ealing	98%	13	97%	3
Enfield	95%	16	91%	14
Greenwich	100%	1	93%	9
Hackney	90%	21	80%	25
Hammersmith and Fulham	100%	1	92%	11
Haringey	100%	1	91%	14
Harrow	84%	26	79%	26
Havering	98%	13	96%	4
Hillingdon	100%	1	92%	11
Hounslow	68%	32	88%	19
Islington	100%	1	94%	5
Kensington and Chelsea	100%	1	82%	23
Kingston upon Thames	89%	22	93%	9
Lambeth	95%	16	94%	5
Lewisham	100%	1	94%	5
Merton	60%	33	78%	28
Newham	100%	1	98%	2
Redbridge	100%	1	91%	14
Richmond upon Thames	100%	1	92%	11
Southwark	72%	30	79%	26
Sutton	93%	19	90%	17
Tower Hamlets	84%	26	90%	17
Waltham Forest	89%	22	94%	5
Wandsworth	88%	24	83%	21
Westminster	88%	24	78%	28

## 5 PLANNING ENFORCEMENT

5.1 There are no designation criteria for planning enforcement. For the purposes of this report, it is considered useful to summarise the enforcement activity in the relevant quarter. This information is provided below:

Apr – Jun 2022				
Number of Enforcement Complaints F	Received: 157			
Number of Emorgement Complaints Received. 197				
Number of Enforcement Complaints Closed: 207				
Number of Enforcement Notices Issued Apr-Jun 22: 19				
Enforcement Notices Issued in Quarter				
Address	Subject of Notice			
133 Turpin Avenue, Romford	Unauthorised conversion to 2 flats			
160-162 Balgores Lane, Romford	Unauthorised decking/sitting area to front			
17 Hall Lane, Upminster	Breach of Condition – No details of landscaping submitted			
60 Brooklands Road, Romford	Unauthorised use of outbuilding for non-incidental/residential purposes			
Land to rear of 143 Crow Lane, Romford	Breach of Conditions – Removal of plant, materials, weighbridge and containers associated with former scrapyard use; no details of boundaries, refuse storage and cycle storage submitted			
Land to rear of 61-63 Crow Lane, Romford	Unauthorised residential use and siting of container			
101 Hillview Avenue, Hornchurch	Unauthorised rear decking			
106 Whitchurch Road, Romford	Breach of Condition – failure to replace finishing materials of dormer			
143 Crow Lane, Romford	Unauthorised dwellings (x 3)			
Meadow Farm, Eastern Avenue East, Romford	Unauthorised hard surfacing, access road, fencing and use for storage of building materials/rubble			
Park Farm, Eastern Avenue East, Romford	<ol> <li>Unauthorised scaffolding yard, sheds, boundary fencing and hard surfaces.</li> <li>Unauthorised bunds, access road, increased land levels and lighting</li> </ol>			
Grangewood Café, New Road, Rainham	Unauthorised canopy extension			
10 Victory Road, Rainham	Unauthorised outbuilding			
31 Court Avenue, Romford	Unauthorised change of use to HMO			
11A Guardian Close, Hornchurch	Breach of Conditions – no details of sound insulation, refuse storage or cycle storage submitted			

7 Elder Way, Rainham	Unauthorised front balcony and front
	boundary
48-50 Station Lane, Hornchurch	Unauthorised first floor rear extension
	and front extension
52 Lower Bedfords Road, Romford	Unauthorised outbuilding, boundary
	walls/railings and hard surface.